



# t The ENUBET project: high precision neutrino flux measurements in conventional neutrino beams

#### M. Pozzato (INFN – Bologna) on behalf of the ENUBET Collaboration CNNP 2017 - Catania



This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 681647).

# The problem of flux uncertainty

Indirect technique to estimate neutrino flux (current generation cross-sextion experiment):

- Monitoring of protons on target (pot), horn currents, muons after the beam dump
- Hadro-production data
- Full simulation of beamline, secondary reinteractions etc.

#### BUT STILL...

Neutrino experiments affected by an intrinsic limitation:

large uncertainty of the overall neutrino flux (~7-10%) directly reflecting to the cross section measurements.

In addition to the flux uncertainty for  $\sigma(v_e) \rightarrow$  beam contamination.  $\sigma(v_{\mu}) \leftrightarrow \sigma(v_e)$  not simple especially @ low-E (Mc. Farland, 2012)

> Poor knowledge of  $\sigma(v_e)$  can spoil : the CPV discovery potential the insight on the underlying physics (standard vs exotic)

### Monitored neutrino beams

Kaon-based monitored neutrino beams (A. Longhin, L. Ludovici, F. Terranova, EPJ C75 (2015) 155 are a very appealing candidate since provide a pure and precise source of  $v_e$ 



#### **Traditional**

- •Passive decay region
- $\bullet \, \nu_e$  flux relies on ab-initio simulations of the full chain
- large uncertainties

#### Monitored

- •Fully instrumented
- $\nu_e$  flux prediction = e+ counting (K<sup>+</sup>  $\rightarrow$  e<sup>+</sup>  $\nu_e \pi^0$ )
- "By-pass" hadro-production, PoT, beam-line efficiency uncertainties

**ENUBET**, ERC Project (Consolidator Grant, PI A.Longhin, Host Institution: INFN) aims to **enable the technology of monitored neutrino beams** for the next generation of experiments (technical challenges / physics reach)

## **Challenges and Requirements**



| CHALLENGES:  | REQUIREMENTS:                                       |
|--|---|
| The decay tunnel is a <b>harsh environment:</b>                  | e⁺ tagger key points:                               |
| <ul> <li>particle rates: &gt; 200 kHz/cm<sup>2</sup></li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>longitudinal sampling</li> </ul>           |
| <ul> <li>backgrounds: pions from K<sup>+</sup> decays</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>perfect homogeneity</li> </ul>             |
| ightarrow need to veto 98-99 % of them                           | ightarrow integrated light-readout                  |
| <ul> <li>instrument region: ~ 50 m</li> </ul>                    |   |
| <ul> <li>grazing incidence</li> </ul>                            | Photon veto key points:                             |
| <ul> <li>significant spread in the initial direction</li> </ul>  | photon identification capabilities                  |
|  | <ul> <li>precise timing of the particles</li> </ul> |
|  | • Exploit 1 mip – 2 mip separation                  |

### Neutrino fluxes in the reference design

The **ENUBET design is optimized** to reach a 1% systematic error on the  $v_e$  flux and a <1% statistical error for a 500 ton neutrino detector located ~100 m from the hadron dump.

| Proton Energy      | Pot for 10 <sup>4</sup> $v_e$ CC | Run nominal duration                       |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| 30 GeV [JPARC]     | 1.0 10 <sup>20</sup>             | $\sim$ 3 months at nominal JPARC intensity |
| 120 GeV [Fermilab] | 0.24 10 <sup>20</sup>            | ~2 months at nominal NuMI intensity        |
| 400 GeV [CERN]     | 0.11 10 <sup>20</sup>            | ~3 months at nominal CNGS intensity        |



M. Pozzato - CNNP Catania

# PID – Tagger technology





(1) Compact shashlik calorimeter (UCM) with longitudinal (4  $X_0$ ) segmentation.

- 3x3x10 cm<sup>3</sup> Fe + scint. modules
- SiPM embedded in the bulk of the calorimeter



Separate e<sup>+</sup>,  $\pi^+$ ,  $\mu$ 

(2) Photon Veto Rings of 3 x 3 cm<sup>2</sup> pads of plastic scintillator





### 1) Hadronic + e.m. calorimeter prototype

#### Test Beam @ T9 - CERN 2016





### 56 (e.m) + 18 (had) UCM modules $\rightarrow$ 666 SiPM (FBK)



# Prototypes: resolution and $e/\pi$ separation



### testBeam @ T9 CERN 2017

#### Setup shashlik calorimeter (1) :

Scintillator: EJ204 scintillator (double thickness) WLS Fiber: BCF92 MC 14 X<sub>0</sub> shashlik calorimeter using plastic Scintillators: new configuration promising a higher light yield and fast response

#### Goal:

Study calorimeter response (light collection efficiency, linearity response, energy resolution...)





#### Setup Photon Veto (2):

Scintillator: 3x3x0.5 cm<sup>3</sup> EJ200/EJ204 WLS Fiber: Kuraray Y11 MC / BCF92 MC SiPM: SenSL

#### Goal:

Study light collection efficiency First measure of time resolution First trial of 1 mip / 2mip separation



### Photon veto@ CERN-PS T9 2017



1 mip signal is ~ 20 p.e

Testing 1 mip/2mip separation, exploiting a Delrin cylinder on the beam to enhance the  $\pi^0$ production and an iron  $\gamma$ converter (~ 0.8 X0 ) for pair produciton



### Ongoing activities (1) Irradiation studies

ENUBET works after a transfer line (narrow band beam) and the instrumentation is located only at large angles. BUT still the doses are significant and will drive the final detector choice.

- Neutron and ionizing doses have been studied for a tagger radius of 40, 80 and 100 cm with FLUKA and crosschecked with GEANT4.
- Doses at 1 m radius for  $10^4 v_e CC 0.05 kGy$ (ionizing dose)  $2 \cdot 10^{11}$  neutrons /cm<sup>2</sup> (1 MeV equivalent).
- Test irradition with 1-3 MeV neutrons performed at INFN-LNL CN Van de Graaff on 12-27 June 2017.
- $\bullet$  Characterise rad-hard SiPM with 12-15-20  $\mu m$  cell size (FBK, SensL) up to  $10^{11\text{-}12}$  1 MeV-eq n/cm².
- Test viability of self-calibration with m.i.p.



# Ongoing activities (2)

#### Tests:

- Response of irradiated SiPM (FBK, SenSL)
- Custom digitizers electronics
- photon veto prototypes with plastic scintillators
- recovery time (to cope with pile-up)

#### Scalable/reproducible technological solutions under study:

- Molded scintillators, water-jet holes machining for absorbers
- Polysiloxane scintillators/powder absorbers







### Ongoing acrtivities (3) Simulation of the decay tunnel

Particles are identified by the energy deposit pattern in the calorimeter modules and in the photon veto using a multivariate analysis.

The clustering of energy deposits ("event builder") is based on position and timing of the signal waveforms in the modules. **Pile up is now fully included.** 



composition of the reconstructed sample



Pile-up effect on Ke3 efficiency seen at nominal rates. Mitigation enlarging the radius: ~ 25 % (~ 50 % purity).

### Ongoing Activities (4) Hadron beamline studies

- A realistic implementation of the beam-line/focusing layout.
- Site-independent. We are considering existing proton driver energies.
- FLUKA/G4Beamline simulations in progress. Support early estimates.
- Assess beam-related backgrounds.
- Machine studies of multi-Hz slow resonant extraction at CERN-SPS



### Conclusions

- At GeV scale the limited knowledge on the initial flux is the dominant contribution to cross section uncertainties  $\rightarrow$  exploiting the K<sup>+</sup>  $\rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ v_e$  channel (Ke3)
- ENUBET is investigating this approach and its application to a new generation of neutrino experiments.: enabling a technology to directly monitor neutrino production at source → major breakthrough in experimental neutrino physics.
- The **results** obtained in the first year of the project are **very promising**:
  - The Reference Design has been established
  - The detector technology was studied with dedicated prototypes and testbeams, and performance fulfills the expectations
  - The simulation of the decay tunnel is now complete and include particle identification, pile up and assessment of ionizing and non-ionizing doses
  - The work on the beamline simulation and systematics assessment has started