The ENUBET project

A. Longhin (Padova University and INFN) on behalf of the ENUBET Collaboration

This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (G.A. n. 681647).



Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

WIN 2019 Bari (IT), 4/6/2019

Overview and outline

The goal of ENUBET is to demonstrate the technical feasibility and physics performance of a neutrino beam where lepton production at large angles is monitored at single particle level.

Two pillars:

- Build/test a **demonstrator** of the instrumented decay tunnel
- Design/simulate the layout of the hadronic beamline

Achievements

- Beamline simulation + accelerator studies
- Updated physics performance
- Experimental validation of detector **prototypes**





Enhanced NeUtrino BEams from kaon Tagging

ERC-CoG-2015, G.A. 681647 (2016-21) PI A. Longhin, **Padova University, INFN**





Monitored beams



Based on conventional technologies, aiming for a 1% precision on the v flux

protons
$$\rightarrow$$
 (K⁺, π^+) \rightarrow K decays \rightarrow e^+ v_e^- heutrino detector

- Monitor (~ inclusively) the decays in which ν are produced event-by-event
- "By-pass" hadro-production, PoT, beam-line efficiency uncertainties
- Fully instrumented decay region

 $\mathbf{K}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbf{e}^{+} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{n}^{0} \rightarrow \text{large angle } \mathbf{e}^{+}$

v flux prediction = e⁺ counting

Removes the **leading source of uncertainty** in v cross section measurements

To get the correct spectra and avoid swamping the instrumentation \rightarrow needs a **collimated momentum selected hadron beam** \rightarrow **only decay products in the tagger**

→ Correlations with interaction radius allows an **a priori knowledge of the v spectra**

Neutrino beams for precision physics: the ENUBET project 🖓 🛉

The next generation of **short baseline** experiments for **cross-section** measurements and for **precision v-physics** (e.g. **CP violation program**, sterile v NSI) should rely on:

\checkmark a direct measurement of the fluxes

a narrow band beam: energy known a priori from beam width
 a beam covering the region of interest from sub- to multi-GeV

The ENUBET facility fulfills simultaneously all these requirements



The ENUBET beamline (baseline option) Collimators



- Proton driver: CERN (400 GeV), FNAL (120 GeV), J-PARC (30 GeV)
- <u>Target</u>: 1 m Be, graphite target. FLUKA.
- Focusing
 - **Horn**: 2 ms pulse, 180 kA, 10 Hz during the flat top *[not shown in fig.]*
 - **Static focusing system**: a quadrupole triplet before the bending magnet
- <u>Transfer line</u>
 - Kept **short** to: minimize early K the decays and those of off-momentum mesons out of tagger acceptance (untagged neutrino flux component)
 - Optics: optimized with TRANSPORT to a 10% momentum bite centered at 8.5 GeV/c
 - Particle transport and interaction: full simulation with G4Beamline
 - Normal-conducting magnets

2 quad triplets (15 cm wide, L < 2 m, B = 4 to 7 T/m) 1 bending dipole (15 cm wide, L = 2 m, B =1.8 T)

- Decay tunnel: r = 1 m. L=40 m, low power hadron dump at the end
- Proton dump: position and size under optimization

A. Longhin - ENUBET

The ENUBET beam line – particle yields



Focusing system	π/pot (10 ⁻³)	K/pot (10 ⁻³)	Extraction length	п/сусle (10 ¹⁰)	K/cycle (10 ¹⁰)	Proposal ^(c)
Horn	97	7.9	2 ms ^(a)	438	36	x 2
"static"	19	1.4	2 s	85	6.2	x 4

(a) 2 ms at 10 Hz during the flat top (2 s) to empty the accelerator after a super-cycle. (c) A. Longhin, L. Ludovici, F. Terranova, EPJ C75 (2015) 155.

The horn-based option still allows ~x 5 faster statistics but the static option gained momentum since initial estimates were ~ x 4 too conservative wrt to present simulations! **Furthermore ... advantages of the static extraction:**

- No need for fast-cycling horn
- Strong **reduction of the rate** (pile-up) in the instrumented decay tunnel
- Pave the way to a "tagged neutrino beam" →

 v interaction at the detector associated in time with the observation of the lepton from the parent hadron in the decay tunnel (more later)
- Monitor the μ after the dump at % level (flux of v_{μ} from π) [under evaluation]

Neutrino events per year at the detector



- **Detector mass**: 500 t (e.g. Protodune-SP or DP @ CERN, ICARUS @ Fermilab, WC at J-PARC ?)
- **Baseline** (i.e. distance between the detector and the beam dump) : 50 m
- 4.5×10^{19} pot at SPS (0.5 / 1 y in dedicated/shared mode) or 1.5×10^{20} pot at FNAL
- v_{μ} from K and π are well separated in energy (narrow band)
- $v_e^{}$ and $v_{\mu}^{}$ from K are constrained by the tagger measurement (K_{e3}, mainly K_{µ2}).
- v_{μ} from π : μ detectors downstream of the hadron dump? (under study)



v_" CC events at the ENUBET narrow band beam

The neutrino energy is a function of the distance of the neutrino vertex from the beam axis.



ENUBET @ SPS, 400 GeV, 4.5e19 pot, 500 ton detector

- The beam width at fixed R ($\equiv v$ energy resolution for π component) is:
 - 8 % for r ~ 50 cm, <E_v>~ 3 GeV
 - 22% for r ~ 250 cm, <E_y> ~ 0.7 GeV

+ Binning in R allows to explore the energy domains of **DUNE/HK** and enrich samples in specific processes (quasi-elastic, resonances, DIS) for cross section measurements



Systematics on the $\nu_{_{e}}$ flux

Golden sample $\epsilon \sim O(10^{-2})$

 $\phi(v_{\alpha}) = \alpha N(K_{\alpha}) + \varepsilon N(\mu)$

Uncertainties from K yields, efficiency and stability of the transfer line are by-passed by the e⁺ tagging

 α encodes the residual geometrical (decay lengths, beam spread) and kinematic factors from K decays \rightarrow "easy" corrections.

The background in the positron sample has to be controlled \rightarrow simple robust detector validated at test beams ($e/\pi^{\pm 0}/\mu$ separation)

Silver sample $\phi'(v_e) = \alpha N(K) \times BR(K_{e3})$

Measuring the **inclusive rate of K decays** is also very powerful. Branching ratios known to < 0.1% (additional uncertainty is small). Residual background is **stray pions from beam tails** (well characterized in terms of azimuth and longitudinal position)

 $K^{+} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} + \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ &$

- can we get to 1% ? assessment in progress: toy Monte Carlos + full simulation
- Address the effect of each uncertainty and the degree of cancellations allowed by the large correlations between e⁺ rate and v_e flux.



Machine studies for the horn-based option



"burst " slow extraction: trigger the third integer betatron resonance with a periodic pattern

From an idea "on slide" to a working implementation !





10 ms 90 ms



A. Longhin - ENUBET

WIN2019-4/6/2019

https://ipac2019.vrws.de/papers/wepmp035.pdf 10

The static beamline: emittance, particle content





The hadronic beamline: FLUKA simulation



1) Optimize shielding to **reduce backgrounds** in the tagger (μ , n, high angle e⁺ and π^+)



2) Specs of **rad-hard upstream focusing quads**





Additional beamline options

We are also simulating other beamline schemes:

- **2 dipoles with an intermediate quadrupole**. Increased length of beamline but $\dots \rightarrow$
- Better quality of the beam in the tagger
- larger bending angle (15.2 °) reducing
 - backgrounds from muons
 - probability for neutrinos produced in the straight section to reach the ν detector



- We are putting all these inputs together
- \rightarrow pindown the best scheme in terms of physics and technical feasibility

WIN2019 - 4/6/2019



The ENUBET tagger

Ultra Compact Module 3×3×10 cm³ – 4.3 X₀



Longitudinal segmentation Plastic scintillator + Iron absorbers Integrated light readout with SiPM

\rightarrow e⁺/n[±]/µ separation

Integrated photon veto Plastic scintillators Rings of 3×3 cm² pads → π⁰ rejection







A. Longhin - ENUBET

K_{e3} **positrons reconstruction**



Full GEANT4 simulation of the detector, validated by prototype tests at CERN in 2016-2018. Includes particle **propagation** and **decay**, from the transfer line to the detector, hit-level detector response, **pile-up** effects.

Analysis chain Event Builder	 Identify the seed of the event (UCM with largest energy deposit in inner layer and > 20 MeV). Cluster neighboring cells close in time.
e/ π/μ separation	 Multivariate analysis based on 6 variables (pattern of the
e/ γ separation	 energy deposition in the calorimeter) with IMVA Signal on the tiles of the photon veto (0-1-2 mip)



Time tagged neutrino beams ?

- Event time dilution → **Time-tagging**
- Associating a single neutrino interaction to a tagged e⁺ with a small "accidental coincidence" probability through time coincidences

 E_v and flavor of the v measured "a priori" event by event.

Compare "E_v from decay kinematics" \leftrightarrow "E_v from v interaction products"



genuine K_{e3} cand. : 80 MHz \rightarrow 1 every \sim 12 ns background K_{e3} cand. \sim 2 x \rightarrow 1 cand. every \sim 4 ns With δ =0.5 \oplus 0.5 ns resolutions: already interesting! S/N ratio will likely improve with further tuning.





The tagger: shashlik with integrated readout





UCM: ultra compact module. SiPM and electronics embedded in the shashlik calorimeter





Test beam results with shashlik readout



Calorimeter prototype performance with test-beam data @ CERN-PS T9 line 2016-2017

UCM module n.

Tested response to MIP, e and π^-

- e.m. energy resoluton: $17\%/\sqrt{E}$ (GeV)
- Linearity deviations: <3% in 1-5 GeV range
- From 0 to 200 mrad \rightarrow no significant differences
- Work to be done on the fiber-to-SiPM mechanical coupling \rightarrow dominates the nonuniformities



longitudinal profiles of partially contained π reproduced by MC @ 10% precision



A. Longhin - ENUBET

Polysiloxane shashlik prototypes



Pros : **increased resistance to irradiation** (no yellowing), **simpler** (just pouring + reticulation) A **13X**₀ **shashlik prototype** tested in May 2018 and October 2017 (**first application** in HEP)







A. Longhin - ENUBET

WIN2019 - 4/6/2019

SiPM irradiation measurements at INFN-LNL and CERN

- e^tnu Det
- @ the CN Van de Graaf on July 2017 \rightarrow 1-3 MeV n with fluences up to 10¹²/cm² in a few hours



- By choosing SiPM cell size and scintillator thickness (~light yield) properly mip signals remain well separated from the noise even after typical expected irradiation levels
- Mips can be used from channel-to-channel intercalibration even after maximum irradiation.

A. Longhin - ENUBET

WIN2019 - 4/6/2019

The tagger: lateral readout option



Light **collected from scintillator sides** and **bundled** to a single SiPM reading 10 fibers (1 UCM). SiPM are not immersed anymore in the hadronic shower → less compact but .. much **reduced neutron damage** (larger safety margins), better **accessibility**, possibility of replacement. Better reproducibility of the **WLS-SiPM optical coupling**.



May 2018, CERN-PS test beam



Large SiPM for 10 WLS 4x4 mm²

Achievable neutron reduction with lateral readout

- 30 cm of borated **polyethylene** in front of SiPM
- FLUKA full simulation. 400 GeV protons.
- Very good suppression especially below 100 MeV.
- Factor ~18 reduction averaging over spectrum.





The Tagger – Detector R&D



September 2018 CERN-PS: a module with hadronic cal. for pion containment and **integrated t**₀**-layer**



• Good signal amplitude

• Checking impact of light connection uniformity and reproducibility of WLS-SiPM optical match. In progress.





A. Longhin - ENUBET



WIN20





The photon veto

@ CERN-PS T9 line 2016-2018



- γ / e⁺ discrimination + timing scintillator (3×3×0.5 cm³) + WLS Fiber (40 cm) + SiPM
- light collection efficiency \rightarrow >95%
- time resolution $\rightarrow \sigma_{t} \sim 400 \text{ ps}$
- 1mip/2mip separation





charge exchange: $\pi \xrightarrow{-} p \rightarrow n \pi^0 (\rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$ Trigger: PM1 + VETO +PM2



The tagger demonstrator



- Length ~ **3 m**
 - allows the containment of shallow angle particles in realistic conditions
- Fraction of $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$
- Due by 2021



ENUBET in the CERN Neutrino Platform



- CERN: already gave a prominent contribution for the success of ENUBET
 - machine studies performed at the SPS
 - East Area beamline for the characterization of the prototypes
- For 2019-2021 → recognition in the Neutrino Platform as ENUBET/NP06
 - support and consulting from CERN accelerator experts in collaboration with personnel by the project
 - test of the final proton extraction scheme in the SPS after LS2
 - use of the renovated East Area for the final validation of the demonstrator

132th meeting of the SPSC, 22nd–23rd/01/2019 https://cds.cern.ch/record/2654613/files/SPSC-132.pdf 228th meeting of the Research Board, 5/3/2019 https://cds.cern.ch/record/2668519/files/M-228.pdf

MoU being finalized

5.12 The physics case of the ENUBET project and the exciting possibilities of a tagged neutrino beam are recognized by the SPSC. The committee recognizes the technological development for a neutrino beam without a horn using a quadrupole-based solution, and appreciates the close collaboration of the ENUBET collaboration with the CERN accelerator sector. The SPSC supports the proposed programme, and welcomes the opportunity to continue reviewing the experiment; test-beam requests will be considered via the standard annual procedure. The Research Board approved the participation of ENUBET in the Neutrino Platform, with reference NP06, on the understanding that

Conclusions

ENUBET is a **narrow band beam** with a high **precision monitoring** of the flux at source (O(1%)) and control of the E_v spectrum (20% @ 1 GeV \rightarrow 8% @ 3 GeV)

In the first two and a half years

- first end-to-end simulation of the beamline
- Tested the **"burst" slow extraction** scheme at the CERN-SPS
- feasibility of a purely static focusing system (10⁶ v_{μ}^{cc} , 10⁴ v_{e}^{cc} /y/500 t)
- full simulation of e⁺ reconstruction: single particle level monitoring
- completed the **test beams** campaign before LS2
- Strengthened the **physics case**: → slow extraction + "**narrow band off-axis technique**"

The ENUBET technique is **very promising** and the results we got so far **exceeded our expectations**



Next steps



- 2019: freeze light readout technology (shashlik versus "lateral readout")
- 2019: Further **tuning of the beamline design** (improve current S/N for e⁺)
- Full assessment of **systematics** on the neutrino fluxes
- CDR at the end of the project (2021): physics and costing
- Build the demonstrator prototype of the tagger (2021)







Backup

Time tagged neutrino beams: challenges



- Proton **extraction** ~ 2s
- σ_t of the tagger < 1 ns
- σ_t of the v detector < 1 ns
- Cosmic background imes 10
- small K⁺ momentum bite (not to spoil the v_e energy reco.)

- → Static focusing with slow extraction is mandatory
- → OK
- \rightarrow Feasible but at the limit of present technology
- → Foresee overburden/cosmic ray tagger
- \rightarrow Feasible but implies flux reduction
- Tagger-detector time sync. << 1 ns \rightarrow OK (direct optical links)

In parallel to the **t**₀-layer baseline option (light plastic scintillator tracker) we are considering alternative technologies (NUTECH project MIUR). Improve the timing both:

- at the tagger
 - direct readout of **cherenkov light**, **LYSO** crystals with embedded SiPM, MicroMegas
- and at the neutrino detector side
 - SiPM based readout of **Ar scintillation light**

Polysiloxane shashlik prototypes



Light yield (normalized to thickness) is ~ 1/3 of plastic scintillator \rightarrow tests light transmission on WLS fibers in absence of air gap Energy resolution, particle-ID and uniformity in line with the one of the second seco





SiPM irradiation measurements at INFN-LNL



- SiPM were irradiated at the CN Van de Graaf on July 2017
- 7MV and 5 mA proton currents on a Be target
- ${}^{9}Be(p,n){}^{9}B, {}^{9}Be(p,np)2\alpha, {}^{9}Be(p,np){}^{8}Be and {}^{9}Be(p,n\alpha){}^{5}Li$
- \rightarrow 1-3 MeV n with fluences up to 10¹²/cm² in a few hours

n spectra (from previous works at the same facility)



 \rightarrow Tested 12,15 and 20 µm SiPM cells up to ~ **2 x 10**¹¹ n/cm² 1 MeV-eq (max non ionizing dose for 10⁴ v_e^{CC} at a 500 t v detector at r = 1 m)







v_µ CC events at the ENUBET narrow band beam

The neutrino energy is a function of the distance of the neutrino vertex from the beam axis.





A. Longhin - ENUBET

Machine studies for the horn-based option



- Difficult to get below 20 ms \rightarrow implemented a feed-forward mechanism using BCT data
- Iterative procedure (AutoSpill) → can "sharpen" peaks **up to 10 ms in 3 iterations**
- at the cost of a somewhat larger variance in peak intensity.



- Versatile/general: mixed continuous-burst possible.
- General **software tool** developed for CR operations.
- Present studies suggest that this mode does not increase significantly radiation losses at septa
- ENUBET: would the static focusing be preferred, burst mode could be used to constrain cosmics background.
- Now focusing on simulation/further ideas, improvement in diagnostics used for feedback (BCT).
- Studies performed in a limited time → will benefit greatly of more data in the future!



A. Longhin - ENUBET

WIN2019 - 4/6/2019



Padova June 2016

CERN Aug 2017





INFN-LNL Jun 2017



CERN May 2018

CERN Sep 2018





Milan Oct 2017



WIN2019 - 4/6/2019

A. Longhin - ENUBET

Beamline shielding tuning studies



• Studies in progress to optimize the shielding to shield muons and other backgrounds.









Besides shielding a further reduction of muons can be achieved by removing a section in ϕ in the upstream part of the tagger

Particle rates in the tunnel



Static focusing system 4.5 x 10¹³ pot in 2 s (400 GeV)

Radius = 1 m from the axis of the tunnel





• Primary particles background largely reduced with tuning in the shielding

- The second part of the tunnel is significantly favored in terms of signal-to-background
- With static focusing scheme rates in the second half are below 10 kHz/cm²

Machine studies for the horn-based option

• Performed Jul/Aug/Nov 2018 at the SPS

CERN-BE-OP-SPS, Velotti, Pari, Kain, Goddard

- Idea: synchronize proton beam and horn current pulses
- + keep rates compatible with tagger (10 ms pulses "slow extr.")
- "burst " slow extraction: trigger the third integer betatron resonance with a periodic pattern

10 ms

90 ms

M. Pari (CERN doctoral student, Univ. of Padova) @ SLAWG meeting of 5/12/2019 https://indico.cern.ch/event/777458/





Positron ID from K decay



Full GEANT4 simulation of the detector, validated by prototype tests at CERN in 2016-2018. Includes particle propagation and decay, from the transfer line to the detector, hit-level detector response, pile-up effects.





E geom	0.36	
٤ _{sel}	0.55	
٤ _{tot}	0.20	
Purity	0.26	cut
S/N	0.36	• 0.46

Instrumenting half of the decay tunnel: K_{e3} e⁺ at single particle level with a S/N = 0.46

The Tagger – positron ID from K decay





Response to signal and background





