The ENUBET neutrino beam

A. Longhin (Padova University and INFN)
on behalf of the ENUBET Collaboration

Neutrino Town Meeting
CERN, 22-24 Oct 2018

This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (G.A. n. 681647).

ENUBET: 52 physicists, 11 institutions

Monitored beams

Based on **conventional technologies**, aiming for a **1% precision on the ν_e flux**

protons → (K^+, π^+) → K decays → e^+ ν_e → neutrino detector

- Monitor (~ inclusively) the **decays in which ν are produced**
- "By-pass" **hadro-production**, **PoT**, **beam-line efficiency** uncertainties

- **Fully instrumented decay region**

$K^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e \pi^0 \rightarrow$ large angle e^+

- **ν_e flux prediction = e^+ counting**

Removes the **leading source of uncertainty in ν cross section measurements**

To get the correct spectra and avoid swamping the instrumentation → needs a **collimated momentum selected hadron beam** (only decay products in the tagger)
→ Correlations with interaction radius allows an **a priori knowledge of the ν spectra**

Neutrino beams for precision physics: the ERC ENUBET project

The next generation of **short baseline** experiments for **cross-section** measurements and for **precision ν physics** (e.g. sterile ν and NSI) should rely on:

- ✓ **a direct measurement of the fluxes**
- ✓ a narrow band beam: **energy known a priori** from beam width
- ✓ a beam covering the region of interest **from sub- to multi-GeV**

Enhanced NeUtrino BEams from kaon Tagging

ERC-CoG-2015, G.A. 681647 (2016-21)
PI A. Longhin, **Padova University, INFN**

The ENUBET facility fulfills simultaneously all these requirements



Length of the instrumented tunnel: 50 m

~ 500 t neutrino detector @ 100 m from the target

e.g.ICARUS@FNAL or ProtoDUNE-SP/DP@CERN

ENUBET goals and highlights

Goal: demonstrate the technical feasibility and physics performance of a neutrino beam where **lepton production at large angles is monitored at single particle level.**

Two pillars:
- Build and test with data a **demonstrator** of the instrumented decay tunnel
- Design/simulate the layout of the **hadronic beamline**

Recent **achievements**
- **end-to-end simulation** of the hadronic **beamline**
- Updated **physics performance**
- Experimental results on the beamline **instrumentation prototypes**



The ENUBET beam line



- **Proton driver**: **CERN** (400 GeV), **FNAL** (120 GeV), **J-PARC** (30 GeV)
- **Target**: 1 m Be, graphite target. FLUKA.
- **Focusing**
  - **Horn**: 2 ms pulse, 180 kA, 10 Hz during the flat top *[not shown in fig.]*
  - **Static focusing system**: a quadrupole triplet before the bending magnet
- **Transfer line**
  - Optics: optimized with TRANSPORT to a 10% momentum bite centered at 8.5 GeV/c
  - Particle transport and interaction: full simulation with G4Beamline
  - Normal-conducting magnets: 2 quad triplets (15 cm wide, L < 2 m, B = 4 to 7 T/m); 1 bending dipole (15 cm wide, L = 2 m, B =1.8 T)
- **Decay tunnel**
  - Radius: 1 m. Length: 40 m, low power hadron dump at the end of the decay tunnel
- **Proton dump**: position and size under optimization

The ENUBET beam line – particle yields

Focusing system	π/pot (10^{-3})	K/pot (10^{-3})	Extraction length	π/cycle (10^{10})	K/cycle (10^{10})	Proposal [(c)]
Horn	97	7.9	2 ms [(a)]	438	36	x 2
"static"	19	1.4	2 s [(b)]	85	6.2	**x 5**

(a) 2 ms at 10 Hz during the flat top (2 s) to empty the accelerator after a super-cycle.
(b) Slow extraction. Detailed performance and losses currently under evaluation at CERN
(c) A. Longhin, L. Ludovici, F. Terranova, EPJ C75 (2015) 155.

Advantages of the static extraction:

- No need for fast-cycling horn
- Strong reduction of the rate in the instrumented decay tunnel
- Monitor the μ after the dump at % level (flux of ν_μ from π) [**NEW: under evaluation**]
- Pave the way to a "**tagged neutrino beam**", namely a beam where the neutrino interaction at the detector is **associated in time** with the observation of the **lepton from the parent hadron in the decay tunnel**

The ENUBET beam line: horn-based option

- **Machine studies @ SPS are currently on-going:**

Preliminary studies Jul/Aug 2018
CERN-BE-OP-SPS, Velotti, Pari, Kain, Goddard



Slow extraction is induced by going to the third integer betatron resonance with a periodic pattern





Proton current

Proton current steps in correspondance of bunches

- Beam bunches in time with horn pulses
- **Further studies →** understand radiation losses. Iterative corrections. Sextupoles: sharper bursts.

The static beamline: emittance, particle content

Divergence of the kaon beam



Particle budget @ tagger entrance



Spectra @ tagger entrance and exit





The static beamline: FLUKA simulation

Assess the specs of **rad-hard upstream focusing quadrupoles**
Optimize shielding to:

- **reduce halos** in the tagger region
- **suppress the decays** of off-momentum mesons **out of tagger acceptance**







The ENUBET tagger



Calorimeter

Longitudinal segmentation

Plastic scintillator + Iron absorbers

Integrated light readout with SiPM

→ $e^+/\pi^\pm/\mu$ separation



Integrated photon veto

Plastic scintillators

Rings of 3×3 cm² pads

→ π^0 rejection





e^+ (signal) topology

π^0 (background) topology



π^+ (background) topology

The tagger: shashlik with integrated readout







CERN PS test beam Nov 2016





Test beam results with shashlik readout

Calorimeter prototype performance with test-beam data @ CERN-PS T9 line 2016-2017

Tested response to MIP, e and π^-

- e.m. energy resoluton: 17%/√E (GeV)
- Linearity deviations: <3% in 1-5 GeV range
- From 0 to 200 mrad → no significant differences
- Work to be done on the fiber-to-SiPM mechanical coupling → dominates the non-uniformities
- Equalizing UCM response with mips MC/data already in good agreement





- longitudinal profiles of partially contained π reproduced by MC @ 10% precision





Ballerini et al., JINST 13 (2018) P01028

SiPM irradiation studies



SiPM were **irradiated at LNL-INFN** with 1-3 MeV neutrons in Jun 2017

→ Characterization of 12,15 and 20 μm SiPM cells up to **1.2 x 10^{11} n/cm²** 1 MeV-eq (max non ionizing dose for 10^4 ν_e^{CC} at a 500 t ν detector)

Expected neutron doses (FLUKA)



Irradiated SiPM tested at CERN in Oct 2017



- Mips can be used from **channel-to-channel intercalibration** even after the maximal irradiation.
- Tests allowed **tuning of scintillator thickness** (or equivalently min p.e. yields) and **compensation with overvoltage** tuning.

The tagger: lateral readout option

Light **collected from scintillator sides** and **bundled** to a single SiPM reading 10 fibers (1 UCM).
SiPM are not immersed anymore in the hadronic shower → less compact but .. much **reduced neutron damage** (larger safety margins), better **accessibility**, safer **WLS-SiPM coupling**.

Sampling calorimeter with
lateral WLS light collection



May 2018, CERN-PS test beam







The Tagger – Detector R&D

September 2018 CERN-PS: a module with hadronic cal. for pion containment and **integrated t_0-layer**



Resolution, light yield, uniformity, optical coupling to photo-sensors, e/π separation. In progress.



Efficiency maps





The photon veto – test beam

@ CERN-PS T9 line 2016-2018

charge exchange: $\pi^- p \rightarrow n \pi^0 (\rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$

Trigger: PM1 + VETO +PM2



- **γ / e^+ discrimination + timing**

scintillator (3×3×0.5 cm³) + WLS Fiber + SiPM

- light collection efficiency → >95%
- time resolution → σ ~ 400ps
- 1mip/2mip separation







Particle rates in the tunnel

Static focusing system
4.5 x 10^{13} pot in 2 s (400 GeV)

Calorimeter 1 m from the axis of the tunnel (R_{inner}=1.00 m)
Three radial layers of UCM (R_{outer}=1.09 m)

Rate vs longitudinal position in the tunnel



Rate vs the azimuthal angle in the tunnel



The bulk of the muons lies on the dipole
Bending plane → can be easily removed

Positron ID from K decay

Full GEANT4 simulation of the detector, validated by prototype tests at CERN in 2016-2018. Includes particle propagation and decay, from the transfer line to the detector, hit-level detector response, pile-up effects.

Analysis chain

F. Pupilli et al., PoS NEUTEL2017 (2018) 078

- Event Builder → Identify the seed of the event (UCM with large energy deposit) and cluster neighboring modules (in time and space)
- $e/\pi/\mu$ separation → Multivariate analysis based on 6 variables (pattern of the energy deposition in the calorimeter) with TMVA
- e/γ separation → Signal on the tiles of the photon veto

Purity x Efficiency (Ke3 e^+)



ε_{geom}	0.36
ε_{sel}	0.55
ε_{tot}	0.20
Purity	0.26
S/N	0.36

ϕ cut → **0.46**

Instrumenting half of the decay tunnel:
K_{e3} e^+ at single particle level with a S/N = 0.46

Neutrino events per year at the detector

- **Detector mass**: 500 t (e.g. Protodune-SP or DP @ CERN, ICARUS @ Fermilab)
- **Baseline** (i.e. distance between the detector and the beam dump) : 50 m
- 4.5 x 10^{19} pot at SPS (0.5 / 1 y in dedicated/shared mode) or 1.5 x 10^{20} pot at FNAL



1.2 million ν_μ Charged Current per year



14000 ν_e Charged Current per year

- ν_μ from K and π are **well separated** in energy (narrow band)
- ν_e and ν_μ from K are constrained by the tagger measurement (K_{e3}, mainly $K_{\mu 2}$).
- ν_μ from π: μ detectors downstream of the hadron dump (under study)

ν_μ CC events at the ENUBET narrow band beam

The neutrino energy is a function of the distance of the neutrino vertex from the beam axis.



ν_μ CC in radial bins (1 norm.)



The beam width at fixed R (≡ neutrino energy resolution) for the pion component is

- 8 % for r ~ 30 cm, $<E_\nu>$~ 3 GeV
- 22% for r ~ 250 cm, $<E_\nu>$ ~ 0.7 GeV



ν_μ CC events at the ENUBET narrow band beam

The neutrino energy is a function of the distance of the neutrino vertex from the beam axis.



ν_μ ^{CC} in radial bins (1 norm.)



Momentum of ν_μ ^{CC} μ^- on Ar.

GiBUU generator (Gauss flux approx.)



Conclusions

ENUBET is a **narrow band beam** with a high **precision monitoring** of the flux at source (O(1%)) and control of the E_ν spectrum (20% at 1 GeV ➞ 8% at 3 GeV)

2018 has been a special year, we have

- provided the first **end-to-end simulation of the beamline** (Jul)
- Proved the feasibility of a **purely static focusing system** (10^6 ν_μ^{CC} , 10^4 ν_e^{CC} /y/500 t)
- **full simulation of e^+ reconstruction**: **single particle level** monitoring. S/N ~ 0.5
- Tested with machine data the **"burst" slow extraction** scheme at the CERN-SPS (Aug)
- **completed the test beams** campaing (Sep) before LS2
  → identified best options for instrumentation (**shashlik** and **lateral** readout)
- Strengthened the **physics case**:
  → slow extraction + "**narrow band off-axis technique**"

The ENUBET technique is **very promising** and the results we got in the **last twelve months exceeded our expectations**

Next steps

- In **2019** we need to:
  - **decide on the light readout technology** for the final demonstrator (shashlik versus "lateral readout")
  - **improve the design of the beamline** to reduce beam halo contamination (current e^+ S/N can be significantly improved)
  - re-optimise the **tunnel radius** to increase geometrical acceptance

- **Systematic assessment on predicted neutrino fluxes**
- Develop new ideas to **enhance precision also on ν_μ**
  - from $K_{\mu 2}$ with μ id in the tagger
  - from π : counting μ from π in hadron-dump (could be feasible with a 2s extraction).
- **CDR** at the end of the project (2021): **physics** and **costing**
- Build a **demonstrator prototype** of the tagger (2021)

ENUBET in the European strategy

The ENUBET mission is to demonstrate the **feasibility** of the tagged neutrino beam approach at CERN, J-PARC or FNAL (**site independent**).

Still… the protoDUNE prototypes would be **ideal** detectors for a future experiment: right **mass**, **timeliness**, redundancy from **dual baseline**, appropriate logistics, an opportunity for a **coherent development of the original physics program** (reduction of syst. for DUNE-HyperK).



~ to scale
(simply a sketch)



Padova June 2016

CERN Nov 2016

CERN Aug 2017

CERN Oct 2017

INFN-LNL Jun 2017

THANKS!

CERN May 2018

CERN Sep 2018

Milan Oct 2017



The ENUBET beamline: "static" option

- Proton extraction scheme: Single slow extraction (2-4 s).
- Reference beam: 8.5 GeV/c, 10% momentum bite
- Quadrupoles: 15 cm wide, L < 2 m, B = 4 to 7 T/m
- Dipole: 15 cm wide, L = 2 m, B =1.8 T → **7.4° bending**
- Envelope at tunnel exit 50 ×50 cm (Tunnel radius 1m)



Optics optimized through **TRANSPORT**



Beam envelope

G4beamline

"full simulation" → efficiencies, backgrounds



The static beamline: FLUKA simulation

Assess the specs of **rad-hard upstream focusing quadrupoles**
Optimize shielding to

- **reduce halos** in the tagger region
- **suppress the decays** of off-momentum mesons **out of tagger acceptance**



The ENUBET monitored beam

- **Hadron beam-line**: charge selection, focusing, fast transfer of π^+/K^+
- **Tagger**: real-time, "inclusive" **monitoring** of K decay products



- $p_{K,\pi} = 8.5 \pm 20\%$ GeV/c
- $\theta < 3$ mrad over 10×10 cm^2
- Tagger: L = 50 m, r = 40 cm

- With proper hadron **focusing only K decay products** are measured in the tagger being emitted at **large angles** (unlike pion decay products) allowing
  - a **complete control** of produced ν_e using e^+ from K_{e3} (~98%). Muon decays gives a small contribution thanks to the short tunnel (~50 m).
  - **tolerable rates / detector irradiation** < 500 kHz/cm^2, O(~1 kGy)



The Tagger – positron ID from K decay

Event Builder →	Seed of the event = UCM in first layer with energy deposit > 20 MeV → link neighboring modules with time (1ns) and position requirements
e/π separation → (Neural network)	TMVA multivariate analysis based on 5(+6) variables (pattern of the energy deposition in the calorimeter)

Response to signal and background







e/γ separation →	π^0 rejection: we require 3 layers of t0 before first calorimeter energy deposit compatible with a mip (0.65-1.7 MeV)