

# **The ERC ENUBET Project:** high precision neutrino flux measurements in conventional neutrino beams



**F. Pupilli** (INFN-Padova) on behalf of the ENUBET collaboration

## **ENUBET (Enhanced NeUtrino BEams from kaon Tagging)**

A new-concept  $v_e$  source based on tagging of e<sup>+</sup> from K<sup>+</sup>  $\rightarrow$  e<sup>+</sup> $\pi^0 v_p$  decays

• The goal of the project is to demonstrate the feasibility of real time monitoring of the positrons produced at high angle in the decay tunnel of conventional neutrino beam to obtain a 10x reduction in the systematics on the neutrino flux  $\rightarrow$  Highly beneficial for the **leptonic CP violation** international program at long baselines  $(v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{e})$ .

- ENUBET is a ERC Consolidator Grant-2015 project (n. 681647, P.I. A. Longhin) with a 2 MEUR funding started on 01/06/2016 with a 5 years duration.
- An Expression of Interest was recently submitted to CERN-SPSC [2]

 $O(10^4) v_{\rho}^{CC}$  in a few years of run at existing proton drivers with a 500 t scale detector [1]



## A traditional beam

- **Passive** decay region
- v<sub>e</sub> flux relies on **ab-initio** simulations of the full chain  $\leftrightarrow$
- large uncertainties from model dependency

### The tagged beam

- Fully instrumented decay region  $K^+ \rightarrow e^+ v_{\rho} \pi^0 \rightarrow \text{large angle } e^+$
- $v_e$  flux prediction =  $e^+$  counting



• Hadron beam-line: collects, focuses, transports K<sup>+</sup> to the e<sup>+</sup> tagger • e<sup>+</sup> tagger: real-time, "inclusive" monitoring of produced e<sup>+</sup>

## The positron tagger

- > Challenges
- The decay tunnel:
- a harsh environment
- particle rates: > 200 kHz/cm<sup>2</sup>
- backgrounds: pions from K<sup>+</sup> decays Need to veto 98-99 % of them
- extended source of ~ 50 m
- grazing incidence

1) compact calorimeter with longitudinal segmentation

 $\mathbf{K}^+$ 

UCM

2) integrated  $\gamma$ -veto

- Key point:
  - longitudinal sampling perfect homogeneity
  - $\rightarrow$  integrated light-readout

Adopted solution

replaced by active

instrumentation

Conventional beam-pipe

- **1)** Calorimeter ("shashlik")  $\rightarrow \pi^{\pm}$  rejection
- Ultra-Compact Module (UCM) read-out by SiPM directly coupled to WLS fibers
- $\rightarrow \pi^0$  rejection 2) Integrated  $\gamma$  -veto
  - plastic scintillators or
  - large-area fast avalanche photodiodes

| Full tagger GEANT4 simulation | Ą | 12 Signal (test sample)  | Signal (training sample)                         |
|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------|--|
|                               | Ň | Background (test sample) | <ul> <li>Background (training sample)</li> </ul> |

#### significant spread in the initial direction



proton "time-dilution"  $\rightarrow$  t-coincidences between e<sup>+</sup> and v<sub>e</sub> at the detector



**Bruno Pontecorvo** 

Preliminary results: • e<sup>+</sup> efficiency: ~ 49% •  $\pi^+$  rejection: ~ 97% (Neural Network) •  $\pi^0$  rejection: ~ 99% (Sequential cuts)



## **ENUBET final results:**

• 1) e<sup>+</sup> tagger validated with particle beams data • 2) a detailed design for the **hadron beam-line** 

The complete picture to move to a full scale experiment

### **By-products and cross-fertilization:**

- calorimetry → new low-cost, ultra-compact detectors
- accelerator physics solutions → novel proton extraction schemes for fixed-target and beam-dump experiments

**Prototype dimensions**:  $3 m \times \pi$ 60 cm outer radius







WLS |



**References**, additional info http://enubet.pd.infn.it [1] Eur. Phys. J. C (2015) 75:155 A novel technique for the measurement of the electron neutrino cross section A. Longhin, L. Ludovici, F. Terranova [2] CERN-SPSC-2016-036 ; SPSC-EOI-014 **Enabling precise measurements of flux in** accelerator neutrino beams: the ENUBET project **ENUBET** Collaboration [3] N.I.M. A, 2016.05.123 arXiv:1605:09630 A compact light readout system for longitudinally segmented shashlik calorimeters Energy [GeV] A. Berra<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, C. Brizzolari<sup>a,b</sup>, S. Cecchini<sup>c</sup>, F. Cindolo<sup>c</sup>, C. Jollet<sup>d</sup>, A. Longhin<sup>e</sup>, L. Ludovici<sup>f</sup>, G. Mandrioli<sup>c</sup>, N. Mauri<sup>c</sup>, A. Meregaglia<sup>d</sup>

A. Paoloni<sup>e</sup>, L. Pasqualini<sup>c,g</sup>, L. Patrizii<sup>c</sup>, M. Pozzato<sup>c</sup>, F. Pupilli<sup>e</sup>,

M. Prest<sup>a,b</sup>, G. Sirri<sup>c</sup>, F. Terranova<sup>b,h</sup>, E. Vallazza<sup>i</sup>, L. Votano<sup>e</sup>

Prepared by F. Pupilli (INFN-Padova, fabio.pupilli@pd.infn.it) for the NuPhys 2016: Prospects in Neutrino Physics Conference – London 12-14 December 2016